Psoriatic arthritis: A permanent new challenge for dermatologists (Review)
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Abstract. Considering that most of the patients (>2/3) are diagnosed with psoriasis in the cutaneous form long before the joint damage occurs and, in these conditions, a significant proportion of them is found in the dermatologist’s initial records, a question must be asked: when is it necessary to send these patients to a rheumatology consultation? The recognition of psoriatic arthritis in patients with vulgar psoriasis and the dermatologist’s ability to differentiate it from other arthritis, offers the opportunity to improve patient prognosis by prompt intervention and close collaboration with the rheumatologist. Diagnosis of early psoriatic arthropathy should be considered when a patient with psoriasis or family history of psoriasis has peripheral inflammatory arthritis (oligoarthritis or distal interphalangeal joints damage), enthesitis, dactylitis, spinal pain of inflammatory type. Given that patients with psoriasis are included in the dermatologists’ medical records, it is very important to recognize psoriatic arthritis in patients with cutaneous psoriasis, to differentiate it from other possible arthritis, thus having the possibility to improve patient prognosis by prompt intervention and through collaboration with the rheumatologist. Thus, the recognition of psoriatic arthritis in patients with vulgar psoriasis and the dermatologist's ability to differentiate it from other arthritis, offers the opportunity to improve patient prognosis by prompt intervention and close collaboration with the rheumatologist (1).
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1. Introduction

Considering that most of the patients (>2/3) are diagnosed with psoriasis in the cutaneous form long before the joint damage occurs and, in these conditions, a significant proportion of them is found in the dermatologist’s initial records, a question must be asked: when is it necessary to send these patients to a rheumatology consultation? Moreover, in the absence of a set of specific criteria for the diagnosis of psoriatic arthritis, the most commonly used method for recognizing and monitoring this condition remains the clinical aspect.

Thus, the recognition of psoriatic arthritis in patients with vulgar psoriasis and the dermatologist’s ability to differentiate it from other arthritis, offers the opportunity to improve patient prognosis by prompt intervention and close collaboration with the rheumatologist (1).

2. Materials and methods

As far as psoriatic arthritis is concerned, it can be defined as a seronegative inflammatory arthritis (absent rheumatoid factor) and characterized by the presence of: a) enthesitis, which is the inflammation of the entheses, the mark of psoriatic arthritis, b) dactylitis, which is the diffuse swelling of a finger and can be acute (with painful inflammatory changes) or chronic (the ‘sausage finger’) and it represents the cardinal feature of psoriatic arthritis, c) axial damage and d) the presence of HLA-B27 antigen (the prevalence of HLA-B27 in psoriatic arthritis patients ranged from 20 to 35% in some studies) (2).

3. Spondylarthritis classification

Classification of spondylarthritis is divided in two major subtypes: a) predominantly peripheral spondylarthritis, which...
includes: arthritis associated with previous acute uveitis, psoriatic arthritis, reactive arthritis (Reiter), juvenile idiopathic arthritis, arthritis associated with intestinal inflammatory diseases and undifferentiated spondylarthritis and b) predominantly axial spondylarthritis, with ankylosing spondylitis (3).

Under these conditions, psoriatic arthropathy is considered a peripheral spondylarthritis associated with HLA-B27 antigen.

Classification criteria for predominantly peripheral spondylarthropathy apply to subjects who at the time of examination have at least one of these affections: arthritis, enthesisitis or dactylitis. If the patient meets one of these three features, then he or she must at least have one feature from Group A or at least two features from Group B. ASAS criteria for classification of peripheral spondylarthritis can be seen in Fig. 1 (4).

4. General perspectives on psoriatic arthritis

From an epidemiological point of view, psoriatic arthritis occurs in 6-24% of patients with vulgar psoriasis, predominantly similar in males and females, with onset at 35-45 years (5,6).

It is of interest to mention that 70% of cases of skin psoriasis precede joint damage, there is a simultaneous debut in 10-15% of cases and in 15% of cases, arthritis precedes cutaneous damage. Regarding children, arthritis precedes the occurrence of skin psoriasis in >50% of cases, with female sex being more frequently affected (7).

Nail lesions are very common and help distinguish between patients who have psoriatic arthritis and those who have rheumatoid arthritis and between patients with psoriasis who have arthritis and those who do not have arthritis. Nail lesions occur in about 40-45% of patients with psoriasis uncomplicated by arthritis and ~87% of patients with psoriatic arthritis (8). Although the etiology of psoriatic arthritis has not been fully elucidated, we would like to mention the role of beta blockers which are a widely used class of medication. Their use in dermatology has garnered growing interest with the discovery of their therapeutic effects in the treatment of haemangiomas, their potential positive effects in wound healing, Kaposi sarcoma, melanoma and pyogenic granuloma, and, more recently, pemphigus. However, like any other drug category, they carry risks of side effects, some of which are dermatologic. These include triggering and exacerbation of psoriasis, psoriatic and rheumatoid arthritis, anaphylaxis, contact dermatitis, occupational contact dermatitis, Raynaud's disease, alopecia, lichen planus-like drug eruption, hyperhidrosis and vitiligo (9,10).

5. Classification and diagnosis of psoriatic arthropathy

Clinical and laboratory criteria for the classification and diagnosis of psoriatic arthropathy were enunciated by Moll and Wright over 30 years ago and are still the simplest and most commonly used in practice. These are represented by the inflammatory arthritis, the presence of psoriasis and the absence of serum rheumatoid factor.

Using these criteria, Moll and Wright (11) described 5 clinical subtypes: a) Asymmetric oligoarthritis, which is characterized by damage to the proximal and distal interphalangeal joints of the hands and feet, with the appearance of the ‘sausage finger’ or asymmetric dactylitis. Metacarpophalangeal and metatarsophalangeal joints may be affected, but also the damage to the knee, tibiotarsal and radiocarpal joints may occur; b) Psoriatic arthritis is the second subtype presented and the most frequent onset manifestation, having multiple similarities with rheumatoid arthritis, for example, symmetry and the damage to small joints. There are several elements that differentiate them, therefore, psoriatic arthritis is characterized by radiocubitocarpal and distal interphalangeal injury, evolutionary conversion and the subsequent damage is limited to a few joints; c) Distal interphalangeal arthritis, which is associated with nail psoriasis lesions; d) Arthritis mutilans, which is a rare form as a manifestation of the onset of the disease. It is an extensive osteolytic form of phalanges, usually the distal ones; e) Spondyloarthropathy, which associates sacroiliitis and spinal cord injury with oligoarticular peripheral arthritis, not forgetting that sacroiliitis is unilateral and the evolution in this case is unfavorable, especially in males (12).

However, the Moll and Wright criteria are not sufficient for an early and accurate diagnosis of psoriatic arthropathy. Over the years, a set of criteria as specific as possible for the diagnosis and classification of psoriatic arthropathy has been attempted, these trials have culminated with the publication in 2006 of CASPAR Criteria (Criteria for Classification of Psoriatic Arthropathy (13). Thus, in order to meet CASPAR criteria, a patient should present inflammatory arthritis (at the peripheral joints, spinal damage or enthesis damage) and at least three of the following: a) Psoriasis at the time of examination; personal history of psoriasis (if there are no current injuries); family history of psoriasis in first or second degree relatives (if there are no current lesions or personal history of psoriasis); b) Nail changes (pitting, onycholysis, hyperkeratosis); c) The absence of rheumatoid factor; d) Dactylitis - swelling of a finger; e) Juxta-articular bone neoformation (except osteophytes).

Thus, an early diagnosis of psoriatic arthropathy is difficult to achieve also due to very different clinical manifestations. Also, articular inflammation may be clinically silent (it is sometimes detected when articular destruction is clinically or imaging evident) and, furthermore, no clinical, immunohistochemical or genetic specific marker has been identified so far to indicate patients with psoriasis that will develop inflammatory arthritis (Table 1).

6. Psoriasis associations with other diseases

Psoriasis can be associated with any other spondyloarthropathy: a) Reactive arthritis. It occurs predominantly between the age of 20 and 40 years, which coincides with the onset of psoriatic arthritis, but the relatively early onset of arthritis and enthesitis is differentiated a few days after a trigger infection (Campylobacter, Chlamydia T, Yersinia, Shigella, Salmonella) (14). It is worth mentioning the appearance of Reiter syndrome, which is characterized by the appearance of arthritis, conjunctivitis and urethritis. Cutaneous mucosal manifestations of keratoderma blennorrhagica (small and hard nodules occurring frequently on soles, but also on the hands) may also occur.

b) Osteoarthritis: It affects older people more frequently than psoriatic arthritis. Heberden and Bouchard nodes can
differentiate distal interphalangeal damage and the damage of proximal interphalangeal joints in psoriatic arthritis. Moreover, enthesitis and extraarticular manifestations do not occur in osteoarthritis (1).

c) Gout: As in the case of osteoarthritis, older people are also predominantly affected. Unlike psoriatic arthritis, gout attacks have an acute onset with severe pain, followed by a mandatory remission period. Monoarticular damage can be easily differentiated from psoriatic arthropathy, but diagnostic difficulties appear in polyarticular gout because the appearance may sometimes mimic clinical manifestations in psoriatic arthropathy. Note that although the swelling of a finger in gout may present similarities with dactylitis, enthesitis is not a characteristic of gout. Also, gout is frequently associated with elevated serum uric acid levels and it is radiographically differentiated from psoriatic arthropathy through C-shaped erosions and the absence of periostitis (14).

d) Rheumatoid arthritis: It is defined as an inflammatory arthritis that has numerous similarities with psoriatic arthropathy such as pain, swelling, morning stiffness, joint damage and extraarticular manifestations, but enthesitis is not a feature of rheumatoid arthritis. Moreover, ‘swan neck’, zig-zag deformities, contribute to its differentiation from psoriatic arthropathy. Serological markers, integrated into the clinical context, bring an additionally contribution to differentiate these two disorders (14). Rheumatoid factor is present in >2/3 of patients with rheumatoid arthritis, having an important role in its diagnosis. The presence of rheumatoid factor in 5% of healthy adults should be noted though. However, citrulline antiprotein antibodies significantly increase the sensitivity and the specificity of rheumatoid arthritis diagnosis.

Rheumatoid arthritis can generally be diagnosed based on clinical, serological, and radiological criteria alone.

Table I. Differential diagnosis of psoriatic arthritis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical examination</th>
<th>Psoriatic arthritis</th>
<th>Osteoarthritis</th>
<th>Gout</th>
<th>Rheumatoid arthritis</th>
<th>Ankylosing spondylitis</th>
<th>Reactive arthritis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Injured joints</td>
<td>Oligoarthritis</td>
<td>Monoarthritis</td>
<td>Monoarthritis</td>
<td>Polyarthritis</td>
<td>Axial</td>
<td>Oligoarthritis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Polyarthritis</td>
<td>Polyarthritis</td>
<td>Polyarthritis</td>
<td></td>
<td>Large peripheral joints</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Axial</td>
<td>Axial</td>
<td>Periarticular</td>
<td>Axial&gt;Peripheral</td>
<td>Axial</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distribution</td>
<td>Periarticular</td>
<td>Periarticular</td>
<td>Periarticular</td>
<td>Periarticular</td>
<td>Axial</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symmetry</td>
<td>Asymmetric</td>
<td>Asymmetric</td>
<td>Symmetric</td>
<td>Symmetric</td>
<td>Symmetric</td>
<td>Asymmetric</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumefaction/erythema</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enthesitis</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Sometimes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dactylitis</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synovitis</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Present</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. ASAS criteria for classification of peripheral spondylarthritis.
and, for clinical routine purposes, does not necessitate a biopsy (15,16). Outside the research setting, a synovial biopsy can be justified in cases of unclear arthritis (17).

e) Ankylosing spondylitis: In this case, we encounter an earlier onset compared to psoriatic arthropathy, being characterized by a predilection for axial manifestations with bilateral sacroilitis and spinal cord injury. Unlike psoriatic arthropathy, it is an irreversible disease that is associated with intense pain, stiffness and limitation of axial movements. Furthermore, it is characterized more frequently by extra-articular manifestations such as uveitis, urethritis and intestinal inflammatory disease (14).

7. Treatment

Among the drugs used according to the protocol in psoriatic arthropathy are the ones of first choice: non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), followed by Disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs (DMARDs) and then, biologic or synthetic drugs with target activity. Most recommendations promote an 'escalation therapy' strategy.

Regarding NSAIDS and DMARDS, their long-term use cannot be sustained due to inadequate pain relief, immune disturbances and serious gastrointestinal and cardiovascular adverse events. Therefore, other therapies with anti-inflammatory properties and minimum side effects are needed for the treatment of arthritis. In this category curcumin can also be included, it is a chemical produced by Curcuma longa plants. Curcumin has anti-inflammatory and antioxidant properties and modulates pro-inflammatory cytokines, adhesion molecules and CRP, thus eliciting a beneficial anti-inflammatory effect in arthritis, by reducing pain and CRP level, and increasing the walking distance, at a dosage of 200 mg daily for 3 months (18).

Regarding biologic therapies, in recent years, there has been an increasing interest in developing new biologic treatments for psoriasis and psoriatic arthritis, therefore, there are many therapies available in Romania, among which: anti-TNF-α inhibitors, anti-IL-12/23 inhibitors and anti-IL-17 inhibitors. Among anti-TNF-α inhibitors, the general safety profile of etanercept when it comes to the risk of infection and hepatotoxicity in patients with psoriasis, psoriatic arthritis and rheumatoid arthritis is higher than that of the other anti-TNF-α agents and, unlike the other anti-TNF agents, neutralizes the biological activity of both TNF-α and lymphotoxin-α (19,20).

An interesting study involving psoriasis treatment with ustekinumab, an interleukin-12/23 inhibitor, was conducted on 15 patients, with a mean age of 50.6 years and a male/female ratio of 2:1. Five patients had previous therapy as follows: 2 had adalimumab, 1 had etanercept, 1 had infliximab in a single therapy and one had infliximab and adalimumab. One third (5/15) of patients had arthritis. Patients with arthritis were significantly older than those without arthritis and no differences in age were seen by gender or previous treatment. Psoriasis area and severity index (PASI) and dermatological life quality index (DLQI) scores were measured at 1, 3, 6, 9 and 12 months, PASI 75 being the primary endpoint. A significant improvement in both PASI and DLQI scores was seen in the first 16 weeks, with a plateau until week 52. All patients achieved PASI 75 and 93.3% PASI 90 by month 12 (21). In conclusion, ustekinumab has demonstrated real efficacy in treatment of psoriasis and psoriatic arthritis.

Regarding biosimilar therapies, these are new drugs, highly similar copies of biological medicines, equally effective and safe. They have demonstrated real efficacy in treatment of psoriasis and psoriatic arthritis and represent a new trend in the treatment of many immune-mediated inflammatory diseases (22). Therefore, in the ever-expanding market of biosimilars, it is important for us as clinicians to be confident about the requirements for the approval of biosimilars. This reassurance comes from the evidence that has been generated before marketing authorization, and in particular from RCTs as an important part of it. Unfortunately, at present there is rather limited evidence provided by the clinical trials, especially on psoriasis and psoriatic arthritis, which would help a dermatologist feel more comfortable about prescribing biosimilars (20).

Research on the efficacy of complementary and alternative medicines (CAM) for psoriasis is increasing (23), but patients may misunderstand the benefits of these therapies. The results demonstrate that patients with psoriasis frequently use CAM because of traditional medication failures or side effects. Few turned to CAM because of limited care access, indicating that patients use CAM despite the availability of traditional treatments (24,25).

For the patients with psoriatic arthritis, the heterogeneity and complexity of psoriatic arthritis pathogenesis requests complex methodological approaches. Going deeper in the pathogenesis of this disease, the associated genetic predisposition can lead to new immune biomarkers whether from the proteomic or genomic area and identify new therapeutic approaches (19).

8. Conclusions

Diagnosis of early psoriatic arthropathy should be considered when a patient with psoriasis or family history of psoriasis has peripheral inflammatory arthritis (oligoarthritis or distal interphalangeal joints damage), enthesitis, dactylitis, spinal pain of inflammatory type. Given that patients with psoriasis are included in the dermatologists' medical records (26), it is very important to recognize psoriatic arthritis in patients with cutaneous psoriasis, to differentiate it from other possible arthritides, thus having the possibility to improve patient prognosis by prompt intervention and through collaboration with the rheumatologist.
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