Effects of HGF and KGF gene silencing on vascular endothelial growth factor and its receptors in rat ultraviolet radiation-induced corneal neovascularization
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Abstract. Hepatocyte growth factor (HGF) and keratinocyte growth factor (KGF), two paracrine growth factors, modulate corneal epithelial cell metabolism, apoptosis and survival. Vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) serves as a proangiogenic factor in corneal neovascularization (CNV), which is a major cause of vision impairment and corneal blindness. The aim of the present study was to evaluate the ability of HGF and KGF to influence VEGF and its receptor, kinase insert domain receptor (Flk-1) in corneal injury and CNV in rats induced by ultraviolet radiation (UVR). An UVR-induced corneal injury rat model was successfully established to characterize the expression patterns of KGF, HGF, VEGF and Flk-1 in corneal tissues. Corneal epithelial cells were extracted and treated with small interfering RNAs (siRNAs) targeting KGF, HGF or both (si-KGF, si-HGF or si-HGF/KGF). The effects of HGF and KGF were examined through detection of the expression of KGF, HGF, VEGF and Flk-1, and the evaluation of cell proliferation, cell cycle and cell apoptosis. The expression levels of KGF, HGF, VEGF and Flk-1 in corneal tissues were increased in the rat model. In the cell experiments, the transfection of si-HGF/KGF resulted in reductions in VEGF, Flk-1, KGF and HGF. In addition, decreased cell proliferation and elevated cell apoptosis were found in the corneal epithelial cells from the rat model following KGF and HGF gene silencing. Taken together, these findings suggest that HGF and KGF gene silencing inhibits UVR-induced corneal epithelial proliferation and CNV and may function as novel targets for corneal wound healing.

Introduction

The cornea has the physiological capacity to absorb the majority of ultraviolet radiation (UVR), and protects the inner eye from ultraviolet (UV)-induced oxidative damaging effects (1). Corneal injury can produce photophobia, an aversive sensitivity to light, and even blindness (2), and corneal injury remains a major reason for consultations in ophthalmology clinics worldwide (3). The common pathological characteristics of corneal injury include inflammatory factor activation, vascular endothelial cell or inflammatory cell infiltration into lesions, corneal edema, corneal neovascularization (CNV) and scar formation (4). Photokeratitis can be caused by UV, and a model of photokeratitis can be established with 400 mJ/cm2 of UVB irradiation to mice corneas (5). Patients with UV-induced photokeratitis exhibit promoted apoptosis of corneal cells due to inflammatory response, induction of reactive oxygen species (ROS), and damage to the cell membrane and deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) (6). Treatment, including the topical administration of peroxiredoxin-6, has been reported to promote recovery from UV-induced corneal injury after 14 weeks (7). Chemical burns and exposure to UVB lead to corneal injury, which can be aggravated by inflammatory responses, oxidative stress and neovascularization, resulting in blindness (8,9). Exposure to UV lasers can result in pathology to the cornea, lens or retina of the primate eye (10). Specifically, irradiation of the eyes with UV causes serious enzymatic disturbances and inflammatory reactions in the cornea (7). Chronic exposure to UVR causes damage to the anterior pole of the eye, such as pterygium or photokeratitis, which is accompanied by several corneal disorders, including inflammatory infiltration and CNV (11). In the last decade, several aspects of the wound healing process in different regions of the cornea have been elucidated, and therapeutic approaches have emerged with novel markers identified.
HGF comprises an α-chain and a β-chain, which contain four kringle domains and a serine protease-like structure, respectively (15); these structures induce a three-phase response, resulting in the formation of branched tubular structures in epithelial cells (16). In addition, KGF, a member of the fibroblast growth factor family, is a human mitogen that is specific for epithelial cells and functions as a stromal mediator of epithelial cell proliferation (17). KGF is secreted by stromal cells in a variety of tissues, including the cornea, skin, prostate and mammary gland (18). These two paracrine growth factors, namely, HGF and KGF, influence corneal epithelial cell metabolism (19). They have specific effects on corneal epithelial cell cycle regulatory proteins; for example, HGF can rescue epithelial cells from apoptosis and KGF promotes cell survival in corneal epithelial cells (20). CVN is a major cause of vision impairment and corneal blindness (21) and may result from a disrupted balance between the upregulation of angiogenic factors and the downregulation of antiangiogenic factors (13). Additionally, vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) is a multifunctional cytokine that is overexpressed in several transplantable and autochthonous tumors, in healing wounds and in chronic inflammatory disorders, including psoriasis and rheumatoid arthritis (22). It is well established that CVN is tightly regulated by a dynamic, natural equilibrium between local proangiogenic factors, including VEGF, and antiangiogenic molecules (7). The present study was designed to thoroughly examine the effects of HGF and KGF gene silencing on VEGF and its receptors on UVR-induced CVN in rats.

As stated above, factors causing corneal injury include infection, physical injury, chemistry and UVR. However, the repair of corneal injury and the mechanism of neovascularization remain to be elucidated. Furthermore, in addition to ozone depletion and environmental disruption, UVR has increased, causing an increase in the number of patients suffering from UVR-induced corneal injury (23). Therefore, the present study examines the effects of HGF/KGF on neovascularization in the rat model of UVR-induced corneal injury.

Materials and methods

Ethics statement. This study protocol was approved by the Animal Ethics Committee of the Second Hospital, Shanxi Medical University (Taiyuan, China). All animal experiments were performed in line with the approval of the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animal by International Committees. Additionally, the implementation of humane endpoints was in compliance with the guideline of assessment for humane endpoints in animal experiments (RB/T 173-2018). The humane endpoints were met when the rats presented with massive hemorrhage or other hemorrhage, blindness, dyspnea or impending death, or when the rats failed to develop the characteristics of the model during the experiments.

Study subjects. A total of 46 clean, 6-week-old male Wistar rats weighing 180-220 g (provided by the Laboratory Animal Center of Sun Yat-sen University of Medical Sciences, Guangzhou, China), weighing 180-220 g, were used in the present study. The rats were fed at 22-24°C, with a humidity of 50-60%, with an alternating 12-h light:dark cycle, and with free access to water and food. The rats were randomly classified into normal and model groups (n=23 in each group).

UVR-induced corneal injury model construction. The right eyes collected from the rats in the model group were used for the experiments. The UVR-induced corneal injury model was constructed using an UVR exposure method, as previously described (7,24). In detail, the rats received an intraperitoneal injection of 10 g/l pentobarbital sodium (40 mg/kg) and were subjected to pupillary dilation using a compound tropicamide eye drop after being anesthetized. The eyes were placed under a UV lamp with a wavelength of 280 nm for 20 min for 4 consecutive days and a cumulative radiation dose of 9 kJ/m² based on the UV light meter. After the 2nd, 4th, and 6th day of model construction, corneal damage in the rats was examined using a routine slit-lamp, and a slit-lamp microscope was used to observe the corneal damage during the modeling. Prior to the observation, the rats were anesthetized and were subjected to pupillary dilation using the tropicamide eye drops in order to record the corneal damage and the corneal neovascularization. Images were captured and recorded, and the corneas were scored in accordance with the Dickey grading criteria (Table I) (25).

Hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining. Corneal damage in the rats was examined under the slit-lamp microscope. On the 6th day following exposure to UVR, the corneas from the normal rats and model rats were collected and sectioned to observe the pathological changes. The rats weighted 220-260 g at the time of sacrifice. The rats in each group were anesthetized through intraperitoneal injection of 2% pentobarbital sodium (30 mg/kg) and then sacrificed by cervical dislocation. The cornea tissues were immediately collected using ophthalmic scissors. The tissues then were fixed in Bouin solution for 24 h and were dehydrated, cleared, embedded with paraffin and cut into 4-μm sections. The sections were deparaffinized, dehydrated, conventionally treated with H&E staining, dehydrated by gradient alcohol, cleared with xylene, and mounted with neutral balsam. Finally, the sections were observed and images were captured under an optical microscope.

Lentiviral vector construction. The primers and meaningless siRNA sequences (Table II) of KGF-siRNA and HGF-siRNA were designed according to the GeneBank gene sequence using online design software (BLOCK-iT™ RNAi Designer; http://rnadesigner.invitrogen.com/rnaiexpress/) from Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc. (Waltham, MA, USA). The primers and sequences were then connected with the plasmid pcDNA3.2-GM/EmGFP-siRNA (Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.), and these were added into competent Escherichia coli TG1 bacteria (cat. no. ZY12, Shanghai Zeye Biotech Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China), and incubated at 37°C for 90 min and incubated at 37°C for 12 h. Sequencing and screening was conducted by Sangon Biotech Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China) to construct the lentiviral vectors pcDNA3.2-GM/EmGFP-negative-siRNA (non-targeting...
Table I. Dickey grading criteria for edematous corneal opacity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grading</th>
<th>Surface characteristics</th>
<th>Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 stage</td>
<td>Transparent cornea</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I stage</td>
<td>Mild corneal hazy opacities</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II stage</td>
<td>Corneal opacities but anterior chamber clearly visible</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III stage</td>
<td>More corneal opacities and anterior chamber less visible</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV stage</td>
<td>Marked corneal opacities and anterior chamber not visible</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table II. siRNA sequences for lentiviral vector construction.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>siRNA</th>
<th>Sequence (5'-3')</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HGF-siRNA</td>
<td>CAAGTCGAGTATATGTCACTGAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KGF-siRNA</td>
<td>CGGAACCTTGTTGATACCAGCCTGTT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC-siRNA</td>
<td>AGCTCTCGTGATCCACCCGGTAAGCC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

siRNA, small interfering RNA; HGF, hepatocyte growth factor; KGF, keratinocyte growth factor; NC, negative control.

Cell culture, transfection and grouping. The rats from the normal and model groups were sacrificed, and the corneal tissues were immediately extracted using ophthalmic scissors. Briefly, the rat eyes were sterilized using 75% ethyl alcohol and added to 1.5-ml centrifuge tubes containing sterile phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and 1% (w/v) 100 U/ml penicillin and streptomycin 100 µg/ml (Sangon Biotech Co., Ltd.), followed by at least three washes. Excess sclera was removed with the use of sterile ophthalmic scissors following collection of the corneas along the corneal limbal rims. Under a stereoscopic dissection microscope (Carl Zeiss GmbH, Oberkochen, Germany), the corneal endothelia and stromata were cautiously stripped off with sterile surgical forceps (thin-tipped). Finally, the residual corneal stroma was again removed, gradually, following which the straticulate epithelia were inoculated into 12-well plates (26). The corneal epithelial cells were separated and cultured in Roswell Park Memorial Institute (RPMI)-1640 medium (Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) and were triturated using the RPMI-1640 medium containing 10% FBS to prepare the single cell suspension, followed by conventional subculture. Cells at the logarithmic growth phase were extracted for the subsequent experiment.

The corneal epithelial cells from rats in the normal and model groups were collected and assigned to a normal group (non-UVR-exposed corneal epithelial cells from normal rats without any treatment); blank group (corneal epithelial cells from model rats transplanted with pcDNA3.2-GM/EmGFP-siRNA), negative control (NC) group (corneal epithelial cells from the model rats transplanted with pcDNA3.2-GM/EmGFP-siRNA); si-HGF/KGF group (HGF and KGF double gene silencing, corneal epithelial cells from the model rats transplanted with HGF-KGF-siRNA); si-HGF group (HGF gene silencing, corneal epithelial cells from the model rats transplanted with HGF-KGF-siRNA); only the UVR-exposed corneal tissues were infected with lentivirus vectors. The healthy corneal tissues, together with UVR-damaged corneal tissues infected with or without the lentivirus NC vector, were set as controls to determine the biological function of HGF/KGF in UVR-damaged corneal tissues. The transfection procedures were as follows: Cells were subcultured on the day prior to the transfection and were inoculated into 6-well plates, with 1x10^6 cells in each well. When the cells reached a confluence of 70-80%, they were transfected. The cells were transfected using Lipofectamine 2000 reagent, according to the manufacturer’s protocol (cat. no. 11668-019, Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). A total of 250 µl Opti-MEM serum-free medium (cat. no. 51985042, Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) was used to dilute 100 ng of pcDNA3.2-GM/EmGFP-siRNA, pcDNA3.2-GM/EmGFP-negative-siRNA, HGF-KGF-siRNA, KGF-siRNA and HGF-siRNA lentiviral vectors (final concentration of 50 nm), and they were gently mixed and incubated at room temperature for 5 min. Another 250 µl Opti-MEM serum-free medium was used to dilute the Lipofectamine 2000 (5 µl), and this was gently mixed and incubated at room temperature for 5 min. The two abovementioned dilutions were mixed and incubated at room temperature for 20 min, followed by their addition into the cell culture wells. The transfected cells were further incubated in a 5% CO₂ incubator at 37°C. The complete medium was replaced after 6-8 h, and the subsequent experiments were conducted after 24-48 h.

Reverse transcription-quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR) analysis. The corneas from rats in the normal and
Western blot analysis. The corneas from rats in the normal and model groups were placed in liquid nitrogen and were then ground into a uniform powder. The total RNA was extracted using the TRIZol reagent kit (cat. no. 15596-018, Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) and the concentration and purity of the RNA were determined. According to the instructions in the Primerscript™ RT reagent kit (cat. no. RRO37A, Takara Biotechnology Co., Ltd., Dalian, China), the RNA was reverse transcribed into complementary DNA (cDNA), with a total volume of 25 µl. The cDNA was diluted with 65 µl diethyl pyrocarbonate and was fully mixed. RT-qPCR analysis was conducted using the cDNA according to the instructions in the SYBR® Premix Ex Taq™ II kit (Takara Biotechnology Co., Ltd.). The reaction system (50 µl) included 25 µl of SYBR Premix Ex Taq II (2X), 2 µl of PCR forward primers, 2 µl of PCR reverse primers, 1 µl of ROX Reference dye (50X), 4 µl of DNA template and 16 µl of dH2O. The RT-qPCR procedure was performed using the ABI PRISM® 7300 system (Applied Biosystems; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). The reaction conditions were as follows: Predenaturation at 95°C for 4 min; 40 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 30 sec, annealing at 58°C for 30 sec and extension at 72°C for 1 min; with extension at 72°C for 7 min after the final cycle. The β-actin gene was used as the internal reference, and all primers (Table III) were designed and synthesized by Wuhan Bojie Biological Engineering Company (Wuhan, China). The relative expression of the target genes was calculated using the 2−ΔΔCq method. The formula was as follows: ΔΔCq=ΔCq experimental group−ΔCq control group and ΔCq=Cq target gene−Cq β-actin. Cq represents the number of amplification cycles when the real-time fluorescence intensity reached a set threshold value, and amplification was performed in logarithmically growing cells at the same time (27). Tissue samples from 10 rats were collected from each group, and the experiment was repeated three times. The above method was also used for the cell experiments.

3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl tetrazolium bromide (MTT) assay. Following transfection for 48 h, 100 µl of the cell suspension in each group was inoculated into 96-well plates (2x10^3 cells per well) and was incubated with 5% CO2 at 37°C. The four-time points were set as 0, 24, 48 and 72 h. At 4 h prior to culture ending, 20 µl of MTT (5 mg/ml) solution was added in each well. After 4 h, the supernatant was discarded. Dimethyl sulfoxide (100 µl in each well) was dropped into the wells, and were shaken for 5 min. The optical density (OD) value of each well was determined using a microplate reader (Multiskan FC, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) at a wavelength of 590 nm. A total of 10 parallel wells were set in each group, and the mean value was obtained. The experiment was repeated three times and was performed by the same individual. Cell viability curves were drawn with the time point as the abscissa and the OD value as the ordinate.

Flow cytometry. Following transfection of the cells in each group for 48 h, the supernatant was discarded, and the cells were washed once with a PBS balanced salt solution. The cells were treated with 0.25% trypsin solution. The digestion solution was discarded when the cells were observed to shrink and became round under the microscope. Medium containing serum was used to terminate the digestion. The cells were separated from the well following trituration, and made into a mixed cell suspension followed by centrifugation.

Table III. Primer sequences for reverse transcription-quantitative polymerase chain reaction analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Primer sequence (5’-3’)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VEGF</td>
<td>F: TGCACCACGACAGAAGGGGA &lt;br&gt; R: TCAACCGCTTGGCTTGTACAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flik-1</td>
<td>F: TAGCGGGATGAAATTTTGG &lt;br&gt; R: GACTGTCATGTCAGCCTGT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HGF</td>
<td>F: CTGCTCTATAATGGCAGAATGG &lt;br&gt; R: TGGACTCTAGTCATTGGCGAAGCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KGF</td>
<td>F: GCACTACACTAATGCC &lt;br&gt; R: AAAGAAATCCTCCTCGTG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-actin</td>
<td>F: CACCGCGAGTACAACCTTC &lt;br&gt; R: CACCATCCCCACCACACC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

F: forward; R: reverse; VEGF, vascular endothelial growth factor; Flik-1, kinase insert domain receptor; HGF, hepatocyte growth factor; KGF, keratinocyte growth factor.
at 179 x g for 5 min at room temperature; the supernatant was discarded. The cells were rinsed with PBS twice, fixed in precooled 70% ethanol for 30 min, and were then centrifuged at 179 x g for 5 min at room temperature and collected. Following this, the cells were washed with PBS, stained with 1% propidium iodide (PI) containing RNase for 30 min and washed twice with PBS to wash away the PI. The volume of the cells was adjusted to 1 ml using a PBS balanced salt solution. A BD-Aria FACScalibur flow cytometer (FACScalibur; Beckman Coulter, Inc., Brea, CA, USA) was used to detect the cell cycle (28). Tissue samples from 10 rats in each group were detected, and the experiment was repeated three times.

Following transfection for 48 h, the cells were treated with ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid-free trypsin and were collected in a flow tube. Following centrifugation, the supernatant was discarded. The cells were rinsed in cold PBS three times, and the supernatant was discarded following centrifugation at 179 x g for 5 min at room temperature. According to the instructions in the Annexin V-fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)/PI double staining cell apoptosis assay kit (cat. no. C1065, Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology, Shanghai, China), Annexin V-FITC, PI, and HEPES buffer (1:2:50) were formulated to make the Annexin V-FITC/PI double staining solution. The cells were resuspended (100 µl staining solution for 1x10⁶ cells), shaken, mixed and incubated at room temperature for 15 min. Subsequently, the cells were added to HEPES buffer (1 ml) and were oscillated to mix. A flow cytometer was used to record the apoptosis conditions at a wavelength of 488 nm. Band pass filters at 525 and 620 nm were used to record the apoptosis conditions.

**Results**

Successful establishment of the rat model of UVR-induced corneal injury. A model of corneal injury induced by UVR was established. Following UVR exposure, the injuries during modeling of the rats were observed under a slit-lamp microscope on the 2nd, 4th and 6th days. Based on the state of injury, the rats in the model group were scored as grade IV using the Dickey edematous corneal opacity grading standard, suggesting successful modeling, and the success rate was 100%. The results from the corneas observed under the slit-lamp microscope (Fig. 1A and B, Table IV) showed that the normal cornea was completely transparent and without vessels, and the surrounding vessels were mainly on the edge of the cornea and formed the vessel net. Neovascularization was not apparent in the model group (Fig. 1B) as serious corneal opacity was observed (degree IV pathology), and the anterior chamber was not observed. Cataract induced by chronic UVR may be a major factor accounting for this phenomenon (29). On the 6th day after UVR exposure, serious corneal opacities were visible in the model rats and the opacity reached degree IV pathology, which demonstrated successful modeling (23,30). Therefore, the model of rats with corneal injury induced by UVR was successfully established.

Pathological changes in the corneal tissues of model rats. H&E staining can be used to determine whether UV induces corneal epithelial cell proliferation, during which corneal cell thickness is reduced (5,6,31). In the present study, H&E staining was used to observe pathological changes in the corneal tissues. The results showed that the normal corneal epithelium exhibited between five and six layers of regularly arranged epithelia, which had a clear boundary with the Bowman's layer. The Bowman's layer was uniform in thickness, and the stromal layer showed an orderly arranged collagenous fiber bundle. In the model group, the rats had severe corneal epithelial cell hyperplasia and edema (Fig. 2A). In addition, the immunohistochemistry (Fig. 2B) used to examine the expression of platelet endothelial cell adhesion molecule-1 (CD31; an angiogenesis marker) suggested that, compared with the normal group, the model group had upregulated expression of CD31. These data showed abnormal morphology of the corneal tissues and CNV in the model rats.

**Table IV. Corneal opacity scores in the normal rats and model rats of ultraviolet radiation-induced corneal injury.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Corneal opacity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model</td>
<td>3.62±0.31a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as the mean ± standard deviation (n=23). *P<0.05, vs. normal group.

mRNA and protein levels of KGF and HGF are high in the corneal epithelial tissues of model rats. Subsequently, the mRNA and protein levels of KGF, HGF, VEGF and Flk-1 were measured by RT-qPCR and western blot analyses. As shown in Fig. 3A-C, compared with the normal group, the mRNA and protein levels of the aforementioned genes in the model group were significantly increased (P<0.05), which revealed that the model rats had high expression levels of KGF and HGF.

Successful transfer of vectors and sequences into the corneal epithelial cells of the model rats. A fluorescence microscope was then used to examine the expression of the vectors.
Following cell transfection, the cells in the normal group showed no green fluorescence. By contrast, significant green fluorescence was present in the blank, NC, si-KGF, si-HGF and si-HGF/KGF groups (expression rate reaching 80%; Fig. 4A and B). This demonstrated that the lentiviral vectors pcDNATM6.2-GM/EmGFP-KGF-siRNA, pcDNATM6.2-GM/EmGFP-KGF-siRNA, pcDNATM6.2-GM/EmHGF-siRNA, pcDNATM6.2-GM/EmGFP-siRNA and pcDNATM6.2-GM/EmGFP-negative-siRNA were all introduced into the corneal epithelial cells and were effectively expressed in the cells.

mRNA and protein levels of KGF, HGF, VEGF and Flk-1 are decreased by si-HGF/KGF. To investigate the mRNA and protein levels of KGF, HGF, VEGF and Flk-1 in the transfected cells, RT-qPCR and western blot analyses were conducted. The findings (Fig. 5A-C) revealed that, compared with the normal group, the mRNA and protein levels of KGF, HGF, VEGF and
Flk-1 were significantly increased in the blank, NC, si-KGF, si-HGF and si-HGF/KGF groups (all $P<0.05$). Compared with the blank group, the mRNA and protein levels of VEGF and Flk-1 were notably downregulated in the si-KGF, si-HGF and si-HGF/KGF groups ($P<0.05$). The si-KGF and si-HGF groups showed lower mRNA and protein levels of HGF and KGF than the blank group ($P<0.05$). The si-HGF/KGF group also exhibited significantly decreased mRNA and protein levels of KGF and HGF ($P<0.05$). No significant differences were observed between the NC and blank groups ($P>0.05$). Compared with the si-KGF and si-HGF groups, the si-HGF/KGF group exhibited decreased cell proliferation ($P>0.05$). The above results demonstrated that the knockdown of KGF and HGF may downregulate the proliferation ability of corneal epithelial cells in the model rats.

KGF and HGF gene silencing promotes apoptosis of corneal epithelial cells of model rats. To characterize the role of KGF and HGF gene silencing in corneal epithelial cells, with regard to cell cycle and apoptosis, PI staining and Annexin V-FITC/PI double staining were conducted. As shown in Fig. 7A and B, compared with the normal group, the other five groups exhibited decreased ratios of corneal epithelial cells in the G1 phase and increased ratios in the S phase (all $P<0.05$). Compared with the blank group, the si-KGF, si-HGF and si-HGF/KGF groups exhibited inhibited cell proliferation (all $P<0.05$). No significant differences were observed between the blank and NC groups. Additionally, no significant differences were observed between the si-KGF and si-HGF groups (all $P>0.05$). Compared with the si-KGF and si-HGF groups, the si-HGF/KGF group exhibited decreased cell proliferation (all $P>0.05$). The above results demonstrated that the knockdown of KGF and HGF may downregulate the proliferation ability of corneal epithelial cells in the model rats.

**KGF and HGF gene silencing decreases the proliferation of corneal epithelial cells in the model rats.** An MTT assay was conducted to examine cell proliferation in each group, and the results (Fig. 6) demonstrated that the proliferation of the corneal epithelial cells from each group increased as time proceeded ($P<0.05$). Compared with the blank group, the other groups showed increased cell proliferation (all $P<0.05$). Compared with the blank group, the si-KGF, si-HGF and si-HGF/KGF groups exhibited inhibited cell proliferation (all $P<0.05$). No significant differences were observed between the blank and NC groups. Additionally, no significant differences were observed between the si-KGF and si-HGF groups (all $P>0.05$). Compared with the si-KGF and si-HGF groups, the si-HGF/KGF group exhibited decreased cell proliferation (all $P>0.05$). The above results demonstrated that the knockdown of KGF and HGF may downregulate the proliferation ability of corneal epithelial cells in the model rats.
of the cell distribution in the G1 and S phases between the si-KGF and si-HGF groups (P>0.05). Compared with the si-KGF and si-HGF groups, the si-HGF/KGF group exhibited a significantly increased ratio of corneal epithelial cells in the G1 phase and decreased ratio in the S phase (all P<0.05).

As shown in Fig. 8A and B, Annexin V-FITC/PI double staining demonstrated that the apoptotic rate of the corneal epithelial cells was significantly reduced compared with that of the normal group (P<0.05). The cell apoptotic rate was notably increased in the si-KGF, si-HGF and si-HGF/KGF groups compared with that in the blank group (all P<0.05). No significant difference in apoptotic rate was identified between the blank and NC groups (P>0.05). There were also no notable differences in the apoptotic rate between the si-KGF and si-HGF groups (P>0.05). Compared with the si-KGF and si-HGF groups, the apoptotic rate was significantly increased in the si-HGF/KGF group (all P<0.05). All the above results demonstrated that KGF and HGF gene silencing promoted the apoptosis of corneal epithelial cells in the model rats.

Discussion

UVR is identified as the most common cause of radiation injury to the eye (32). UVR evokes photokeratitis, which is accompanied by increased corneal hydration and changes in corneal transparency, leading to increased light absorption (33). Despite their harmfulness, certain microRNAs and genes are found to have potent protective effects on UV-induced corneal damage (12,13). Shi et al stated that peroxiredoxin 6 (PRDX6) protein can be used to treat UV-induced corneal injury (7), and the present study suggested that silencing of HGF and KGF inhibits the expression of VEGF and its receptor, therefore, assisting in recovery from UV-induced corneal injury. The treatment provided by Shi et al comprised increasing PRDX6 at the protein level and the strategy used in the present study comprised siRNA-mediated gene silencing, both of which
are innovative. In the present study, it was found that HGF and KGF gene silencing possessed the ability to attenuate UVR-induced corneal injury and CNV by suppressing the expression of VEGF and its receptors.

The findings obtained in the present study showed that KGF and HGF were expressed at high levels in the rats with UVR-induced corneal injury and CNV, and the knockdown of KGF and HGF inhibited the proliferation and promoted the apoptosis of corneal epithelial cells. KGF is a well-established mitogen for keratinocytes, which promotes early differentiation and inhibits terminal differentiation of cultured keratinocytes (34). HGF acts by binding to a specific receptor, c-Met, and is reported to promote keratinocyte proliferation and stimulate keratinocyte metalloproteinase production in response to skin injury, migration and proliferation (35). An intact corneal epithelium is essential for maintaining vision and protecting against infection (36). Healing of epithelial wounds in a healthy cornea occurs relatively fast (20). HGF, KGF and their receptors are associated with homeostasis and injury healing in the cornea (14). In addition, it was previously reported that HGF and KGF are expressed in the cornea in response to injury (20). HGF and KGF exhibit a lasting influence on corneal epithelial cell survival and cell growth, and on the regulation of levels of proteins that control apoptosis and cell cycle, which include p53, poly (adenosine diphosphate-ribose) polymerase, retinoblastoma protein, cyclins, CDKs, and cell cycle inhibitor p27kip (17). Both HGF and KGF are important in corneal injury; HGF and KGF promote the proliferation and migration of corneal epithelial cells (37,38).

In the present study, the knockdown of both the HGF and KGF genes prevented corneal epithelial cell proliferation and promoted apoptosis. Podskochy and Fagerholm demonstrated that UV-damage can decrease the number of apoptotic cells, thus, inhibiting cell apoptosis based on a single dose of UVR (30). However, in the present study, cell proliferation and apoptosis were observed following repeated UVR exposure, which has been reported to increase the resistance of corneal stroma cells to apoptosis; therefore, corneal stroma

Figure 7. KGF and HGF gene silencing induces cell cycle arrest in corneal epithelial cells. (A) Cell cycle distribution in each group following 48 h of transduction, as assessed by PI staining. (B) Percentages of cells in G0/G1, S and G2/M phase in each group following 48 h of transduction; *P<0.05, vs. normal group; †P<0.05, vs. blank group; ‡P<0.05, vs. si-HGF group; §P<0.05, vs. si-KGF group; The experiment was repeated three times independently. The measurement data are expressed as the mean ± standard deviation and were analyzed using one-way analysis of variance and Tukey’s post hoc test. NC, negative control; KGF, fibroblast growth factor; HGF, hepatocyte growth factor; si, small interfering RNA; PI, propidium iodide.
cells exhibit apoptosis resistance. Acute UVB exposure leads to photokeratitis and triggers the apoptosis of corneal cells (6). Prolonged eye exposure to UV induces photokeratitis, which affects all tissues of the cornea (39) and induces the expression of various inflammatory agents, including nuclear factor of activated B cells (NF-κB) and prostaglandin E2 (40). Photokeratitis is generally associated with lost or injured corneal epithelial cells due to natural and artificial UVR (33,41). UV exposure affects all tissues of the cornea, and causes apoptosis of corneal cells through direct cell membrane damage, DNA damage and ROS induction as a result of an inflammatory reaction (39). Under UVR, corneal damage is mediated through increased levels of lipid peroxidation products (malondialdehyde and 4-hydroxynonenal), inflammatory mediators (NF-κB and cyclooxygenase-2), cell death factors (Fas receptor) and matrix metalloproteinases (MMP-9 and MMP-2) (42). Consistently, the present study found that excessive exposure to UVR in the cornea may induce photokeratitis, damage to the epithelium, corneal opacities, edema and CNV.

Corneal transparency and vascularity are important for maintaining proper optical performance of the cornea (43). CNV involves the development of new vascular structures in areas that were previously avascular (44). CNV can be induced by infection, inflammation, degeneration or delayed wound-healing disorders in the ocular surfaces (45). VEGF, a potent angiogenic stimulator, promotes proliferation, migration, proteolytic activity and capillary tube formation by endothelial cells (46). VEGF is expressed at high levels in the vascular endothelial cells of the limbal vessels and in newly formed vessels in the stroma, but its expression is weak in keratocytes (47). Correspondingly, VEGF is significantly increased in the vascularized cornea compared with the normal cornea (47). It was previously reported that VEGF is involved in the process of UVR-induced CNV (7). The expression of VEGF is elevated by HGF and KGF, indicating their involvement in the regulation of angiogenesis (48,49). In the present study, the protein level of VEGF was significantly reduced by the knockdown of both HGF and KGF.
It has been shown that corneal injury can lead to the inflammatory response and damage to sensory nerves (50,51). However, the present study only focused on the effects of HGF/KGF in VEGF and its receptors in corneal epithelial cells, rather than the biological function of corneal nerves. KGF and HGF can influence the proliferation and migration of epithelial cells by activating the p38/extracellular signal-regulated kinase 1/2 signaling pathway (37,52). Therefore, silencing of KGF or HGF alone can suppress cell proliferation and migration, and silencing of both leads to the same effects. In the present study, HGF silencing reduced the expression of KGF, and KGF silencing reduced the expression of HGF. Interleukin (IL)-1β and IL-1α have been reported to upregulate the expression of HGF and KGF (53), based on which it was hypothesized that the silencing of HGF or KGF alone not only inhibits corneal epithelial cell proliferation, but also downregulates the expression of cell-secreted IL-1β and IL-1α, thus indirectly influencing the promotive effects of IL-1β and IL-1α on KGF/HGF. As a result, HGF gene silencing may lead to decreased KGF, and KGF gene silencing may lead to decreased HGF. Although the present study found that HGF may interact with KGF in UV-induced CNV, the interaction between HGF and KGF remains to be fully elucidated. Confirmation with more reliable data is required in subsequent investigations. In addition, the specific channel of small molecules and siRNA entering corneal cells, and whether they causing injury to other organs with involvement of the circulatory system in humans remains to be elucidated. Therefore, rat model established in the present study is not applicable to humans, and requires attention in future investigations. Despite this, the findings of the present study support a theoretical basis for a novel target in corneal wound healing following UVR exposure.
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