miRNA-148a regulates the expression of the estrogen receptor through DNMT1-mediated DNA methylation in breast cancer cells
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Abstract. Breast cancer remains the most prevalent cancer among women worldwide. The expression of estrogen receptor-α (ER-α) is an important marker for prognosis. ER-α status may be positive or negative in breast cancer cells, although the cause of negative or positive status is not yet fully characterized. In the present study, the expression of ER-α and miRNA-148a was assessed in two breast cancer cell lines, HCC1937 and MCF7. An association between ER-α and miRNA-148a expression was identified. It was then demonstrated that DNA methyltransferase 1 (DNMT1) is a target of miRNA-148a, which may suppress the expression of ER-α via DNA methylation. Finally, an miRNA-148a mimic or inhibitor was transfected into MCF7 cells; the miRNA-148a mimic increased ER-α expression whereas the miRNA-148a inhibitor decreased ER-α expression. In conclusion, it was identified that miRNA-148a regulates ER-α expression through DNMT1-mediated DNA methylation in breast cancer cells. This may represent a potential miRNA-based strategy to modulate the expression of ER-α and provide a novel perspective for investigating the role of miRNAs in treating breast cancer.

Introduction

Breast cancer is the most prevalent type of cancer among women worldwide (1). The majority of primary breast cancer cases are estrogen receptor (ER) positive; approximately one-third of patients with breast cancer are ER at the time of diagnosis (2). ER status is predictive for the response to endocrine therapy of breast cancer, and is thus an important marker of prognosis. Therapies targeting the ER, including tamoxifen and aromatase inhibitors, have been successfully applied for treating breast cancer patients with tumors that express ER (3).

However, a fraction of breast cancers that are initially ER lose the expression of ER during tumor progression, and thus the long-term effectiveness of endocrine therapies is limited (2). Methylation of ESR1, the gene encoding ER-α, is associated with ER status and implicated in the tumor resistance to hormone-based treatment in breast cancer (4).

MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are a group of highly conserved, non-coding RNAs that suppress gene expression post-transcriptionally by binding to the 3'-untranslated region (3’UTR) of target mRNAs. Dysregulation of miRNAs is associated with the initiation and progression of a number of types of human cancer, including breast cancer (5,6). miRNAs are increasingly regarded as essential regulators of gene expression in breast cancer, which may act as oncopgenes (e.g., miR-21) or tumor suppressors (e.g., let-7) (7).

Previous studies have demonstrated that the absence of ER expression may result from the hypermethylation of CpG islands in the 5' region of the ESR1 gene in a proportion of breast cancer cases (2). DNA methylation is catalyzed by DNA methyltransferases (DNMTs). It has been reported that the specific inhibition of DNMT1 expression is sufficient to induce ER-α re-expression in human breast cancer cell lines (8). DNMT1 expression has been demonstrated to be inversely correlated with miRNA-148a expression in breast cancer tissue, which may imply that there is a negative feedback regulatory loop between miRNA-148a and DNMT1 (9).

In the present study, it was demonstrated that ER and miRNA-148a expression in HCC1937 and MCF7 cells were associated, then further confirmed that miRNA-148a increases the expression of ER-α through targeting DNMT1.

Materials and methods

Cell culture and transfection. The human breast cancer cell lines MCF7 and HCC1937 were purchased from the Cell Resource Center, Shanghai Institute of Biochemistry and Cell Biology at the Chinese Academy of Sciences (Shanghai, China). Cells were maintained at 37°C in a humidified air atmosphere containing 5% CO₂ in RPMI-1640 (MCF7) or Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (HCC1937), supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (all from Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc., Waltham, MA, USA).

siRNA against DNMT1 (stB0002746C-1-5), miRNA-148a mimic (miR10000516-1-5) and miRNA-148a inhibitor (miR20000243-1-5) were obtained from Guangzhou RiboBio
Co., Ltd. (Guangzhou, China). miRNA mimic/inhibitor control (miR01101-1-5) and siRNA control (siN05815122147-1-5) were also obtained from Guangzhou RiboBio Co., Ltd. Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) was used for transfection into MCF-7 cells according to the manufacturer’s protocol. The transfected concentrations of siRNA, miRNA-148a mimic and inhibitor were 50, 50 and 100 nM, respectively.

Reverse transcription-quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR). Total RNA was extracted from cells using TRIzol reagent (Sangon Biotech Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China). ReverTra Ace qPCR RT kit (ToyoBo Co., Ltd., Osaka, Japan) was used to generate cDNA from mRNA and SYBR Premix Ex Taq (Takara Bio, Inc., Otsu, Japan) was used to perform qPCR with the ABI 7500 Real-time PCR system (Applied Biosystems; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. A total of 1 µg cDNA was used for qPCR. The primer sequences were as follows: DNMT1 forward, 5’-GCA CAA ACT GAC CTG CTT CA-3’ and reverse, 5’-GCC TTT TCA CCT CCA TCA AA-3’; ER-α forward, 5’-TTC GGC TCC AAC GGC CTG GGG GGT TT-3’ and reverse, 5’-GGT ACT GGC CAA TCT TTC TCT GCC ACC CT-3’; GAPDH forward, 5’-GAA GGT GAA GGT CGG AGT C-3’ and reverse, 5’-GAA GAT GGT GAT GGG ATT TC-3’. The thermocycling conditions for qPCR were as follows: 95˚C for 30 sec, 40 cycles of 95˚C for 5 sec and 60˚C for 30 sec. The relative expression level was determined using the 2-ΔΔCq method (10).

In order to amplify miRNA sequences, total RNA was extracted using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) and the microRNA qRT-PCR syb kit (TaqMan™ MicroRNA Assays, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) was used for generating cDNA from microRNA and its subsequent qPCR detection, according to the manufacturer’s protocols. Primers for miRNA-148a and U6 were purchased from Invitrogen (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). The miRNA-148a primers were 5’-ATG CTC AGT GCA CTA CAG AA-3’ (forward) and 5’-GTG CAG GGT CCG AGG T-3’ (reverse). The internal standard was U6 and its primer was 5’-CTCGTCTGGCAGCACA-3’ (forward) and 5’-AACGCT TCACGAATTTGCGT-3’ (reverse).

Western blot analysis. Cultured cells were harvested and lysed in radioimmunoprecipitation assay buffer supplemented with complete protease inhibitor cocktail tablets (Roche Diagnostics GmbH, Mannheim, Germany). Cell debris was removed by centrifugation at 13,000 x g for 20 min at 4˚C. The concentration of proteins were quantified using Bio-Rad Protein Assay (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc.). Lysates (~20 µg) were separated by SDS-PAGE (10%) and transferred to PVDF membranes (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc.). Subsequently, the membranes were blocked [5% fat-free milk in Tris buffer saline with 0.1% Tween-20 (TBS-T)] for 1 h at room temperature. Following blocking, membranes were incubated with primary antibodies, including ER (dilution, 1:1,000; incubated overnight at 4˚C; cat. no. ab108398; Abcam, Cambridge, MA, USA), DNMT1 (dilution, 1:1,000; incubated overnight at 4˚C; catalog no. ab13537; Abcam) and GAPDH (dilution, 1:5,000; incubated overnight at 4˚C; cat. no. 5174; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc., Dallas, TX, USA). Then, the membrane was washed for 5 min 3 times with TBST. Subsequently, goat anti-rabbit immunoglobulin (for ER, cat. no. ab150077; Alexa Fluor; Abcam) and goat anti-mouse immunoglobulin (for DNMT1, cat. no. ab150113; Alexa Fluor; Abcam) were added at a 1:5,000 dilution and incubated with the membrane at room temperature for 30 min.

Prediction of miRNA-148a targets. TargetScan 5.1 online software (http://www.targetscan.org; Whitehead Institute for Biomedical Research, Cambridge, MA, USA) was used to predict the target genes of miRNA-148a.

Statistical analysis. Student’s t-test was used to determine statistical significance between the groups. SPSS version 17 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA) was used. P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

Results

miRNA-148a is expressed more highly in ER-α positive cells. It was confirmed that ER-α expression was negative in HCC1937 cells and positive in MCF7 cells (Fig. 1A). It was also identified that miRNA-148a was more highly expressed in MCF7
cells than in HCC1937 cells (Fig. 1B). These data may indicate an association between ER and miRNA-148a expression in HCC1937 and MCF7 breast cancer cells.

**DNMT1 is a target of miRNA-148a.** TargetScan was used to predict miRNA-148a target genes; the DNMT1 gene 3' UTR included 7 sequential pairing bases with the 5' of miRNA-148a, indicating that DNMT1 may be a potential target of miRNA-148a. (B) In order to confirm that DNMT1 is a target, an miRNA-148a mimic or inhibitor was transfected into MCF7 cells. At 48 h after transfection, the detection of DNMT protein levels by western blot analysis indicated that the expression of DNMT1 decreased upon miRNA-148a mimic transfection and increased upon miRNA-148a inhibitor transfection. DNMT1, DNA methyltransferase 1; miRNA, micro RNA; 3'UTR, 3' untranslated region.

**ER-α expression was regulated by DNMT1.** To confirm that DNMT1 can influence ER-α expression in MCF7 cells, siRNA against DNMT1 was used to knock down DNMT1 expression. Transfection with the siRNA caused a decrease in DNMT1 protein expression (Fig. 3A), and an increase in the protein and mRNA levels of ER-α (Fig. 3B and C). These data show that expression of the ER-α gene may be downregulated by the expression of DNMT1.

**miRNA-148a influences ER-α expression.** Finally, it was investigated whether miRNA-148a could influence the expression of ER-α in MCF7 cells. An miRNA-148a mimic or inhibitor was transfected into MCF7 cells, and the protein and mRNA levels of ER-α were detected. It was demonstrated that transfection with the miRNA-148a mimic increased ER-α expression, whereas the miRNA-148a inhibitor decreased ER-α expression, including at the mRNA and protein level (Fig. 4A and B). These data suggest that miRNA-148a enhances the expression of ER-α by targeting DNMT1.

**Discussion**

Although breast cancer is a heterogeneous disease, two-thirds of breast cancers share the common feature of being dependent on the presence and interaction of estrogen with the nuclear ER-α protein (2). Previous evidence has demonstrated that ER-α is upregulated in mammary epithelial cells during early
stages of breast cancer, and that its overexpression is an important stimulatory factor for proliferation, eventually leading to tumor development (11). ER-α is an important therapeutic target in breast cancer treatment due to its role in orchestrating the expression of genes from growth-associated pathways (12).

There is a range of breast cancer cell lines available for research, including MCF-7, HCC1937, T47D, SK-BR3, MDA-MB-231, MDA-MB-435, HDQ-P1, MCF-10A, MCF-12A. Of these cell lines, MCF-7 and T47D are ER-α positive and the others, including HCC1937, are ER-α negative (13). A previous study investigated the differential expression of miRNAs in ER-α positive or negative cell lines and identified a number of differently expressed miRNAs, including miRNA-148a (14). In the present study, it was confirmed that miRNA-148a was more highly expressed in the ER-α positive MCF-7 cells than in the ER-α negative HCC1937 cells. It was then considered whether the elevated expression of miRNA-148a is associated with the ER-α expression status of MCF-7. A previous study reported that miRNA-152 caused the downregulation of DNMT1, a DNA methyltransferase, resulting in the demethylation of the ER-α gene in human aortic smooth muscle cells (15). As miRNA-152 and miRNA-148a belong to the same miRNA family and their sequences are similar (16), we hypothesized that miRNA-148a may also target DNMT1. This hypothesis was confirmed by the present study.

Methylation is a molecular modification of DNA that is associated with gene function. The concept that different cell types have different patterns of methylation was introduced more than three decades ago (17). A later study reported that DNA methylation patterns are different between tissue types, and between tumors and the surrounding tissue (18). In the context of breast cancer, a study identified that the number of CpG hypermethylated islands increased with the decreasing extent of tumor differentiation, indicating that broad DNA methylation signatures could be used for differentiating and staging breast cancer (19). The DNA methylation reaction is catalyzed by DNMTs including DNMT1, DNMT3a and DNMT3b. DNMT1 is the most abundant DNA methyltransferase in mammalian cells and the key maintenance enzyme for hemimethylated DNA during DNA replication, including for various types of cancer cell (20). Previous studies have demonstrated that miRNAs may affect the promoter methylation in CpG islands by targeting the 3’UTR of DNMTs (21-23).

In the present study, it was identified that miRNA-148a targets DNMT1, which may then regulate ER-α expression. These results indicate a potential miRNA-based strategy to modulate ER-α expression in breast cancer cells.

Although the majority of breast cancers are ER-α positive, ER-α negative breast cancer remains a popular area of research in oncology. Triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC) is defined by its lack of ER-α and progesterone-receptor (PR) expression, along with the absence of human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2) overexpression or gene amplification (24). TNBC thus lacks a recognized target for molecular-oriented therapy. It is a biologically aggressive disease that is commonly associated with distant recurrence, visceral metastases and mortality compared with other breast cancer types (25). Although a number of novel targeted therapies on TNBC are in development, the effort is not producing the expected results (26). miRNAs have been investigated as biomarkers for the diagnosis and prognosis of cancer, and are potential therapeutic tools against breast cancer (27). The present study demonstrated that an miRNA may modulate ER-α expression in breast cancer cells. This provides a novel perspective for investigating the role of miRNAs in treating breast cancer.

In conclusion, it was identified in the present study that miRNA-148a may regulate ER-α expression by inhibiting DNMT1-mediated DNA methylation in breast cancer cells. This represents a potential miRNA-based strategy to modulate ER-α expression, and provides a novel perspective for investigating the role of miRNAs in treating breast cancer.

References


Figure 4. miRNA-148a regulated ER-α expression in MCF7 cells. miRNA-148a mimic and inhibitor were transfected to MCF7 cells. At 48 h after transfection, miRNA-148a mimic increased ER-α expression whereas the miRNA-148a inhibitor decreased ER-α expression. The finding was confirmed at the (A) mRNA and (B) protein level. *P<0.05, **P<0.001. miRNA, micro RNA; ER-α, estrogen receptor-α.


