Secondary osteosarcoma in patients previously treated for childhood cancer: Three case reports
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Abstract. The prognosis of childhood cancers has improved markedly, and the proportion of long-term survivors has increased in recent years. However, with the increase in the number of long-term survivors, the development of latent treatment-related adverse effects, such as secondary malignancies, has generated new problems. Secondary cancer is defined as a histologically distinct malignancy that develops at least 2 months after the completion of treatment for primary cancer. Genetic factors and acquired conditions associated with treatment modalities are possible causes of secondary malignancy development. Genetic factors include the presence of Li-Fraumeni syndrome (LFS) and retinoblastoma. In terms of acquired factors, radiation and chemotherapy have been reported to be the most strongly associated with secondary malignancy development. The use of alkylating agents and topoisomerase II inhibitors for the treatment of childhood cancer increases the subsequent risk of secondary tumors. We herein investigated three cases of secondary osteosarcoma several years after treatment for primary cancer. In the three patients, the familial history did not appear to fit the clinical diagnostic criteria of LFS or retinoblastoma. The patients had not received previous radiation therapy to the anatomical site of the secondary cancer. However, high dosages of alkylating agents and topoisomerase II inhibitors had been administered for the treatment of primary cancer. The exact link between chemotherapy and secondary cancer remains elusive, but the possibility of an association should be considered. Following the development of multidisciplinary therapies, long-term follow-up and monitoring of latent adverse effects may be necessary for childhood cancer survivors.

Introduction

The prognosis of childhood cancer has improved significantly, and the number of long-term survivors has increased in recent years, mainly due to improvements in multidisciplinary therapy, including neoadjuvant chemotherapy, radiation therapy and surgical techniques. With the increase in the number of long-term survivors, the development of latent treatment-related adverse effects, such as secondary cancer, has generated new problems.

Secondary cancers are defined as histologically distinct malignancies that develop at least 2 months after the completion of treatment for primary cancer. Secondary cancers occur due to genetic factors, as well as previous chemotherapy and/or radiation therapy.

We herein present three cases of secondary osteosarcoma that developed after treatment for childhood cancer in our hospital (Tables I and II) and report on their characteristic clinical features, diagnosis, treatment and outcomes.

The patients and their parents provided their consent for the publication of patient data.

Case reports

Case 1. An 11-year-old male patient experienced pain in the left thigh for >2 months. The family history provided no indication of a genetic predisposition to bone tumors. When the patient was 4 years old, he developed medulloblastoma in the cerebellar vermis and was treated by tumor resection and, postoperatively, whole-brain irradiation (18 Gy), localized irradiation (32 Gy) and high-dose intensive chemotherapy. The patient was administered four cycles of chemotherapy with vincristine, cyclophosphamide, cisplatin and etoposide, and one cycle with thiotepa and melphalan. The patient also received six intrathecal injections of dexamethasone and methotrexate. Furthermore, etoposide was administered for 2 years due to intrathecal metastasis. The patient remained disease-free for 6 years and 3 months after the last cycle of chemotherapy.
Six years after treatment for medulloblastoma, the patient experienced gradually increasing pain in the left thigh. At initial hospital presentation, muscle pain was suspected, as a plain radiograph revealed no abnormalities. However, the pain persisted. The patient visited another hospital 2 months after symptom onset, and a bone tumor of the left femur was identified on X-ray imaging. At that point, the patient was referred to Osaka City General Hospital (Osaka, Japan) on December 2008. X-ray imaging revealed a lytic lesion with speculated periosteal reaction in the left proximal femoral shaft (Fig. 1A). Coronal T1-weighted and T2-weighted magnetic resonance (MR) images revealed a heterogeneously low-intense (T1) and heterogeneously hyperintense (T2) mass extending from the proximal femoral bone marrow to the adjacent soft tissues (Fig. 1B). Pathological diagnosis of the biopsy specimen from the extraosseous lesions revealed chondroblastic osteosarcoma, with spindle cells in the cartilage lacunae and a cartilage-like matrix (Fig. 1C). One cycle of chemotherapy with adriamycin (60 mg/m²) and cisplatin (100 mg/m²), and another with ifosfamide (10 g/m²) were administered. However, the tumor progressed due to treatment resistance. Consequently, a wide resection of the bone tumor and reconstruction with artificial implants were performed.

The definitive diagnosis was chondroblastic osteosarcoma, and the secondary cancer was suspected to be associated with chemotherapy, as the histopathological pattern was different from that of the primary cancer (Fig. 1D). Postoperatively, two cycles of chemotherapy with methotrexate (132 g/m²), pirarubicin (40 mg/m²), carboplatin (400 mg/m²), irinotecan (100 mg/m²) and ifosfamide (10 g/m²) were administered. At the last follow-up, on February 2015, the patient had remained disease-free for 6 years.

Case 2. A 14-year-old male patient experienced pain in the right knee for >3 months. The family history provided no indication of a genetic predisposition to bone tumors. When the patient was aged 9 years, he developed a germ cell tumor in the anterior mediastinum (Fig. 2A). Preoperatively, the patient was treated with high-dose intensive chemotherapy including three cycles of bleomycin, etoposide and cisplatin, one cycle of paclitaxel, ifosfamide and cisplatin, and one cycle of etoposide and carboplatin (Table I). Postoperatively, two cycles of chemotherapy with paclitaxel and ifosfamide, and two cycles with etoposide and carboplatin were administered. The patient remained disease-free for 4 years and 6 months after the last cycle of chemotherapy.

Five years after receiving treatment for the germ cell tumor, the patient experienced gradually increasing pain in the right knee. The presence of a bone tumor was confirmed at a local hospital, followed by immediate referral to Osaka City General Hospital (Osaka, Japan) in February 2013. X-ray imaging revealed a lytic lesion in the distal right femur (Fig. 2B). MR scanning was not performed due to the introduction of a stainless-steel wire in the sternum during the previous surgery. Computed tomography (CT) revealed the presence of an osteolytic lesion in the distal femoral metaphysis. Pathological diagnosis of the biopsy specimen from the lesion confirmed malignancy, suspected to be a sarcomatoid carcinoma. Preoperatively, the patient received two cycles of chemotherapy. He then underwent wide resection of the tumor and reconstruction with artificial knee joint replacement. The definitive diagnosis was osteoblastic osteosarcoma (Fig. 2C), and secondary cancer associated with chemotherapy was suspected, as the histopathological pattern was different from that of the primary cancer (Fig. 2D). Postoperatively, four cycles of chemotherapy were administered with 240 mg/m² cyclophosphamide, 36 g/m² ifosfamide, 450 mg/m² pirarubicin and 132 g/m² methotrexate pre- and postoperatively, according to CCG-7921 and POG-9351 (1). At the last follow-up, on March 2015, the patient had remained disease-free for 2 years.

Case 3. A 9-year-old male patient experienced pain in the right knee for >1 month. The family history provided no indication of a genetic predisposition to bone tumors. At the age of 1 year, the patient developed anaplastic astrocytoma in the medulla oblongata and was treated with localized brain irradiation (40 Gy) and high-dose intensive chemotherapy. Four cycles of chemotherapy with vincristine, cyclophosphamide, cisplatin, thiotepa and melphalan, and 14 cycles of etoposide were administered (Table I). The patient remained disease-free for 6 years after the last cycle of chemotherapy.

Eight years after treatment for the primary cancer, the patient experienced gradually increasing pain in the right knee. At first, sciatica was suspected at a local hospital. Sagittal T1-weighted and sagittal T2-weighted MR images revealed a heterogeneously hyperintense (T1) and isointense (T2) mass in the intradural region at the L3 level (Fig. 3A). Astrocytoma recurrence was suspected, and the patient was referred to Osaka City General Hospital (Osaka, Japan) in March 2010. X-ray imaging revealed an ossifying lesion with periosteal reaction in the proximal right tibia. Coronal T1-weighted and T2-weighted MR images revealed a heterogeneously hypointense (T1) and heterogeneously hyperintense (T2) mass extending from the proximal tibial metaphysis to the adjacent soft tissues (Fig. 3B). The patient underwent intradural tumor resection and anaplastic astrocytoma was pathologically diagnosed (Fig. 3C); however, the pathological diagnosis of the biopsy specimen from the right proximal tibial lesion was osteoblastic osteosarcoma (Fig. 3D). High-dose intensive chemotherapy, including ifosfamide (6 g/m²), pirarubicin (40 mg/m²) and cisplatin (100 mg/m²), was administered. However, due to rapid tumor growth, thigh amputation was performed ~2 months after admission. Despite subsequent multiple regimens of aggressive chemotherapy including pirarubicin and cisplatin, ifosfamide and etoposide, and etoposide and temozolomide, the tumor progressed quickly. The patient eventually succumbed to disseminated metastatic disease, including the worsening of lung metastasis in September 2010.

Discussion

We herein report three cases of secondary osteosarcoma that developed after treatment for a childhood cancer. Of all the primary malignant bone tumors, osteosarcoma is the most common, accounting for 19.2% of all primary malignant bone tumors. However, its absolute incidence is low, at 5.6 cases per million population annually (2-4). While osteosarcoma may occur as a secondary malignancy during childhood, its incidence is very low. In the large-scale
Childhood Cancer survey, osteosarcoma as a secondary malignancy was reported in 31 of 14,372 survivors of childhood cancer (5). The incidence of osteosarcoma as a secondary malignancy following childhood cancer is expected to rise accordingly, due to the increase in the number of childhood cancer survivors. While primary osteosarcoma treatment is based on a clearly defined protocol including chemotherapy and surgery, the treatment protocol for osteosarcoma as a secondary neoplasm is not well-established. The 5-year survival rate associated with conventional osteosarcoma is reportedly 60-70% (6,7). The prognosis of patients with secondary bone sarcomas is generally poor. However, Bielack et al (8) reported that the prognosis of secondary osteosarcoma may increase to match that of the otherwise comparable primary osteosarcoma. In that study, chemotherapy was administered according to the contemporary Cooperative Osteosarcoma Study Group protocols for secondary osteosarcoma (9), including surgery and multiagent chemotherapy. Furthermore, Yonemoto et al (10) reported that 7 of 9 patients (77.8%) with osteosarcoma as a secondary cancer survived without disease (mean follow-up period, 10.9 years); therefore, the prognosis of osteosarcoma occurring as a secondary malignancy following treatment for childhood cancer may be more favorable compared with that of conventional osteosarcoma.

Secondary cancer is defined as a histologically distinct malignancy, developing at least 2 months after the completion of treatment for the primary cancer (11). The cumulative incidence of secondary cancer is 15% at 20 years after the diagnosis of the primary cancer, and the incidence risk remains increased.

Table I. Primary cancer.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Age, years</th>
<th>Histology</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Chemotherapy, cumulative doses</th>
<th>Radiation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Medulloblastoma</td>
<td>Cerebellar vermis</td>
<td>VCR, 0.6 mg/m², CPM, 12,000 mg/m²</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CDDP, 360 mg/m², VP16, 14,000 mg/m²</td>
<td>32 Gy (brain)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>TEPA, 500 mg/m², LPAM, 280 mg/m², MTX (intrathecal injection), 50 mg/body</td>
<td>18 Gy (spine)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Germ cell tumor</td>
<td>Anterior mediastinum</td>
<td>IFM, 18 g/m², CBDCA, 4,500 mg/m²</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CDDP, 400 mg/m², VP16, 5,100 mg/m²</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BLM, 45 mg/m², PTX, 650 mg/m²</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Anaplastic astrocytoma</td>
<td>Medulla oblongata</td>
<td>VCR, CPM, CDDP, VP-16, TEPA, L-PAM (Cumulative doses are not applicable)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(CDF, continuous disease free; DOD, dead of disease)</td>
<td>40 Gy (brain)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table II. Secondary cancer.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Interval</th>
<th>Histology</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Prognosis follow-up</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>6 years</td>
<td>Chondroblastic osteosarcoma</td>
<td>Proximal left femur</td>
<td>CDF 6 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>5 years</td>
<td>Osteoblastic osteosarcoma</td>
<td>Distal right femur</td>
<td>CDF 2 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>8 years</td>
<td>Osteoblastic osteosarcoma</td>
<td>Proximal right tibia</td>
<td>DOD 6 months</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CDF, continuous disease free; DOD, dead of disease.

Childhood Cancer survey, osteosarcoma as a secondary malignancy was reported in 31 of 14,372 survivors of childhood cancer (5). The incidence of osteosarcoma as a secondary malignancy following childhood cancer is expected to rise accordingly, due to the increase in the number of childhood cancer survivors. While primary osteosarcoma treatment is based on a clearly defined protocol including chemotherapy and surgery, the treatment protocol for osteosarcoma as a secondary neoplasm is not well-established. The 5-year survival rate associated with conventional osteosarcoma is reportedly 60-70% (6,7). The prognosis of patients with secondary bone sarcomas is generally poor. However, Bielack et al (8) reported that the prognosis of secondary osteosarcoma may increase to match that of the otherwise comparable primary osteosarcoma. In that study, chemotherapy was administered according to the contemporary Cooperative Osteosarcoma Study Group protocols for secondary osteosarcoma (9), including surgery and multiagent chemotherapy. Furthermore, Yonemoto et al (10) reported that 7 of 9 patients (77.8%) with osteosarcoma as a secondary cancer survived without disease (mean follow-up period, 10.9 years); therefore, the prognosis of osteosarcoma occurring as a secondary malignancy following treatment for childhood cancer may be more favorable compared with that of conventional osteosarcoma.

Secondary cancer is defined as a histologically distinct malignancy, developing at least 2 months after the completion of treatment for the primary cancer (11). The cumulative incidence of secondary cancer is 15% at 20 years after the diagnosis of the primary cancer, and the incidence risk remains increased.

![Case 1](image1.png)  ![Case 2](image2.png)  ![Case 3](image3.png)  ![Case 4](image4.png)

Figure 1. Case 1. (A) Radiograph of the left proximal femur demonstrating an aggressive lytic bone lesion with spiculated periosteal reaction in the proximal femoral metaphysis. (B) Coronal T2-weighted magnetic resonance imaging showing heterogeneous expansive mass, with high signal intensity. (C) Pathological examination confirmed chondroblastic osteosarcoma (hematoxylin and eosin staining; magnification, x200). (D) Primary cancer: Medulloblastoma of the cerebellar vermis (hematoxylin and eosin staining; magnification, x200).
for >20 years (12). This represents a 10-fold increased risk of cancer among cancer survivors, compared with the general population (13). In addition, the risk of secondary bone tumors has been reported to be 133 times higher compared with that of the general population, with an estimated 20-year cumulative risk of 2.8% (14).

The prognosis of childhood cancer has markedly improved since the 1970s, mainly due to improvements in multidisciplinary therapy, including neoadjuvant chemotherapy, radiation therapy and surgical techniques. However, with the increase in the number of long-term survivors, the prevalence of latent treatment-related adverse effects, such as secondary malignancy, has increased (15).

Medulloblastoma (case 1) accounts for ~10% of all childhood brain tumors. These tumors occur exclusively in the posterior fossa and have a propensity for leptomeningeal spread. Treatment includes a combination of surgery and radiation therapy (patients aged >3 years). Adjuvant
chemotherapy is recommended for all patients. Patients aged >3 years are stratified based on the volume of postoperative metastases (reference value: 1.5 cm³) or absence of metastases into standard- and high-risk categories, with long-term survival rates of ~85 and 70%, respectively. Outcomes are inferior in infants and children aged <3 years (16). The patient in case 1 was 4 years old and the primary tumor was resected completely, but intrathecal metastasis was present. Thus, he was deemed a high-risk patient. The radiation dose was reduced to 18 Gy, and an alkylator-based, dose-intensive chemotherapy regimen was administered according to the Children's Oncology Group study in young children (aged 3-7 years) (16,17).

Medialier germ cell tumors (case 2) are a rare group of germ cell tumors that account for <5% of all germ cell malignancies. Histologically, they may be divided into two categories, namely seminomas and non-seminomas (18). The long-term cure rate of patients with histologically pure seminomas in the mediastinum is almost 90%; only 45% of patients with mediastinal non-seminoma survive for 5 years, and their outcomes are worse compared with those of patients with seminomas in the gonads or retroperitoneal primary lesions (19). The pathological diagnosis of the primary cancer of the patient in case 2 was non-seminoma. The treatment included conventional chemotherapy with the bleomycin + etoposide + cisplatin and paclitaxel + ifosfamide + cisplatin protocols, with modifications.

Overall, the age-adjusted incidence of anaplastic astrocytoma is 3.5 per million persons/year. The incidence of anaplastic astrocytoma (case 3) increases with age, and in children aged 0-15 years, the rate is 0.9 per million persons/year. The corresponding rates are 2.6 in young adults (16-39 years) and 4.7 in older adults (40-64 years). The overall age-standardized 5- and 10-year relative survival rates of populations with anaplastic astrocytoma are 23.6 and 15.1%, respectively, while the respective 5- and 10-year survival rates in children are 32.1 and 24.6%. The effect of age on survival is present for only the first 3 years post-diagnosis, after which time age no longer affects cancer-specific survival rates (20). The patient in case 3 received localized irradiation and a high-dose intensive chemotherapy protocol that included cyclophosphamide, vincristine, etoposide and cisplatin, put forth by the Pediatric Oncology Group for the treatment of children aged <3 years with malignant astrocytoma, with modifications (21).

At least two factors, namely genetic factors and acquired conditions related to treatment modalities, must be considered as the possible causes of secondary malignancy.

Genetic factors include the presence of Li-Fraumeni syndrome (LFS) and retinoblastoma (22,23). Previous studies have demonstrated that childhood cancer survivors with a family history of cancer, particularly the presence of LFS, carry an increased risk of developing secondary cancer (24,25). In all three patients in the present report, the familial history did not fit the clinical diagnostic criteria of LFS or retinoblastoma (22,23).

As regards acquired factors, radiation and chemotherapy have been implicated in the past. Therapy-related solid secondary malignancy is strongly associated with radiation. The risk of solid secondary malignancy is highest when the exposure to radiation occurs at a younger age, and it increases with the total dose of radiation (13,14). Some well-established radiation-related solid secondary malignancies include breast, lung and thyroid cancer, brain tumors, soft tissue sarcomas, and malignant bone tumors, such as Ewing's sarcoma (26). Our patients had not received previous radiation therapy to the anatomical site of the secondary malignancy.

It has been suggested that chemotherapy may cause secondary malignancy in young cancer survivors (26,27). Bhatia et al (26) concluded that the use of alkylating agents and topoisomerase II inhibitors for childhood cancer increases the subsequent risk of secondary tumors. Hawkins et al (28) reported that the risk of alkylating agent-related secondary malignancy is dose-dependent.

Mutagenicity is associated with the ability of alkylating agents to form crosslinks and/or transfer alkyl groups to form DNA monoadducts (29). Topoisomerase II catalyzes the relaxation of supercoiled DNA by covalently binding and transiently cleaving and re-ligating both strands of the DNA helix. DNA topoisomerase II inhibitors stabilize the enzyme-DNA covalent intermediate, decrease the relaxation rate, and cause chromosomal breakage (30). In our three cases, alkylating agents (ifosfamide and cyclophosphamide) and a topoisomerase II inhibitor (etoposide) had been administered for the primary cancer. While it is impossible to definitively establish a link between previous chemotherapy and secondary malignancies, an association may well exist.

The interval from the diagnosis of primary malignancy to the development of secondary malignancy may be 8-10 years (27). In our patients, a period of ~7 years elapsed between the initial diagnosis of primary cancer and the detection of a possible secondary malignancy. Thus, long-term follow-up may be necessary to identify the development of a secondary malignancy in young survivors of malignant tumors in order to monitor latent treatment-related adverse effects.

In conclusion, we herein presented three cases of osteosarcoma developing several years after the primary malignancies. Medical professionals, including orthopedic oncologists, as well as patients, should be aware of the possibility of the occurrence of a secondary malignancy as a potential adverse latent effect. Long-term follow-up is crucial for young survivors, even after successful treatment of the primary cancer.
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